



## The Planning Inspectorate

### EN010117: Application by Rampion Extension Limited for the Rampion 2 Offshore Wind Farm

Action points arising from Compulsory Acquisition Hearing 1

#### DAY 1 - Friday 17 May 2024

AP	Description	By When
<b>Agenda Item 2 – Case for Compulsory Acquisition and Temporary Possession</b>		
1	The Applicant to provide a detailed note setting out how the Order Limits have been determined and the proposed development would be constructed with Compulsory Acquisition (CA) powers only being exercised over the minimum land required, referencing the relevant Articles in the draft Development Consent Order (draft DCO).	D4
<b>Agenda Item 3 - Funding</b>		
2	The Applicant to submit an updated Funding Statement.	D4
<b>Agenda Item 6 – Statutory Undertakers</b>		
3	If there is no agreement on Protective Provisions with all statutory undertakers prior to Deadline 5, the Applicant and Statutory Undertakers to submit, preferably jointly, Protective Provisions with tracked changes on the differences, together with an explanation for additions/omissions.	D5 latest
4	National Highways to submit both standard and their suggested version of project specific Protective Provisions into the examination.	D4

#### DAY 2 – Tuesday 21 May 2024

AP	Description	By When
<b>Agenda Item 9 – Restrictive Covenants (and other related matters)</b>		
5	The Applicant to redraft Article 33(11) to make it clear how the powers over plots 2/28, 33/14 and 33/16 would work in relation to temporary possession and new rights.	D4

6	<p>The Applicant to consider amending the draft DCO by inserting the following (or similar) wording into Article 25 at paragraph (7) to clarify how the power over unused rights will be relinquished following the establishment of the cable corridor.</p> <p><i>“The Applicant will cede/relinquish powers over remaining land subject to sub-paragraph (1) once the cable corridor location and its width have been established and constructed as part of Part 3, Schedule 1, Requirement 23.”</i></p>	D4
<b>Agenda Item 10 – Site Specific Representations from Affected Persons</b>		
7	The Applicant to respond to Mr Baird’s outstanding questions and concerns.	End of w/c 20 May
8	Michelgrove Park plots 11/1, 11/2, 11/3 and 11/4 and Sullington Hill Plots 19/1 and 19/2 - Applicant to provide a note to explain and justify the extent of the land required and to demonstrate the area can’t be reduced based on the limits of deviation of the HDD pits. In addition, the Applicant to clarify why the area to the west on plot 19/2 is required.	D4
9	The Applicant to provide an explanation of the volume of sand that could be sterilised in section 24.9.47 of Volume 2 – Chapter 4 Ground Conditions of the Environmental Statement [APP-065].	D4
10	The Applicant to provide an explanation and justification of the cable route with respect to mineral sterilisation including an evaluation of alternative routes that would minimise mineral sterilisation in response to representation from the Wiston Estate.	D4
11	Applicant to provide a note comparing costs of offshore and onshore cable routes.	D4
12	Applicant to provide a copy of the correspondence from National Grid ruling out Ninfield as a potential grid connection point for Rampion 2.	D4
13	<p>Applicant to provide a written explanation and justification for the proposed route across Mr Dickson’s land (College wood Farm) and why Mr Dickson’s suggested route would not viable.</p> <p>In addition, Applicant to provide a note on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the length of time construction work would last on Mr Dickson’s land;</li> <li>• the length of time Mr Dickson would have to operate crossing points to access land severed by the cable corridor works and</li> <li>• the length of time fencing would be kept in place around the cable corridor on Mr Dickson’s land.</li> </ul>	D4
14	The Applicant to justify the route and width of the proposed cable corridor at Sweethill Farm.	D4
15	National Highways provide comments on the rights sought over plots in its ownership by the Applicant.	D4

16	<p>The Applicant to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• justify the permanent acquisition of plot 34/28;</li> <li>• the need for CA rights over plots 34/25, 34/26 and 34/27</li> <li>• explain the role of the Applicant and National Grid in progressing the proposed connection of Rampion 2 to Bolney Substation and provide an update on the current position.</li> </ul>	D4
17	Applicant to provide explanation and justification for the need for plot 7/3.	D4
<b>Agenda Item 11 – Site Specific Issues for the Applicant</b>		
18	<p>The Applicant to provide justification for the need and / or size of plots on Land Plans [PEPD-003]:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sheet 5, plot 5/3 which widens out to over 100m just before the change in cable corridor direction.</li> <li>• Sheet 6, plot 6/3 which continues east from an HDD location on a straight section of cable corridor at over 100m.</li> <li>• Sheet 8, plots 8/1, 8/2, 8/3 and 8/4 which are approximately 80m wide on a straight section of cable corridor.</li> <li>• Sheet 14, plot 14/1 which widens out to over 125M.</li> <li>• Sheet 14, plot 14/6 which widens out from approximately 80 to 120m on a straight section of cable corridor.</li> <li>• Sheet 28, plot 28/2 which widens out to over 200m in the vicinity of the disused railway line.</li> <li>• Sheet 31, plots 31/2 and 31/3.</li> </ul>	